

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/017,733	LIANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel F. Hajnik	2671	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Daniel F. Hajnik.

(3) _____.

(2) Richard T. Lyon (#37385).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 June 2005

Time: 1:30PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

44

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Daniel Hajnik

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant's representative for premission to correct the claim language in claim 44 as filed in the amendment dated 3/25/2005. Applicant's representative granted permission to change the language as an examiner's amendment in claim 44 from "horizontal grid coordinate to one of the grid" to "horizontal grid coordinate of said different one of the grid" as originally stated in the claims filed on 12/13/2001.